

RESOLVING MIXED HODGE MODULES ON CONFIGURATION SPACES

E. GETZLER

If $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ is a continuous map of locally compact topological spaces and n is a natural number, let X^n/S be the n th fibred power of X with itself, and let $F(X/S, n)$ be the configuration space, whose fibre $F(X/S, n)_s$ over a point $s \in S$ is a configuration of n distinct points in the fibre X_s , or equivalently, the complement of the $\binom{n}{2}$ diagonals in X^n/S . Let $j(n) : F(X/S, n) \hookrightarrow X^n/S$ be the natural open embedding.

An essential rôle is played in this paper by the higher direct image with compact support $f_!$ (this is often written $Rf_!$) associated to a continuous map $f : X \rightarrow Y$. This is a functor from the derived category of sheaves of abelian groups on X to the derived category of sheaves of abelian groups on Y . For example, if Y is a point and $\mathcal{F}$ is a sheaf on X , then $f_!\mathcal{F}$ is a complex of abelian groups whose cohomology is $H_c^\bullet(X, \mathcal{F})$. If f is either a closed or an open embedding, $f_!$ takes sheaves on X to sheaves on Y . (See Section 1 of Verdier [22].)

Given a sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ of abelian groups on X^n/S , we introduce in this paper a natural resolution $\mathcal{L}^\bullet(X/S, \mathcal{F}, n)$ of the sheaf $j(n)_!j(n)^*\mathcal{F}$ by sums of terms of the form $i(J)_!i(J)^*\mathcal{F}$. (Here, $i(J)$ is the closed embedding of a diagonal in X^n/S .) This resolution has the property that if $\mathcal{F}$ is an $\mathbb{S}_n$ -equivariant sheaf (where the symmetric group $\mathbb{S}_n$ acts on X^n/S by permuting the factors in the fibred product), the resolution is $\mathbb{S}_n$ -equivariant as well. For example, if $n = 2$, we have the exact sequence of sheaves

$$(0.1) \quad 0 \longrightarrow j(2)_!j(2)^*\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow i_!i^*\mathcal{F} \longrightarrow 0,$$

where $i : X \hookrightarrow X^2/S$ is the diagonal embedding. When X is a Riemann surface, this resolution was introduced by Looijenga [13].

Let $\pi(n) : F(X/S, n) \rightarrow S$ and $\pi(n) : X^n/S \rightarrow S$ be the projections to S . (We denote them by the same symbol, since confusion is hardly likely to arise.) The objects $\pi(n)_!j(n)^*\mathcal{F}$ and $\pi(n)_!\mathcal{L}^\bullet(X/S, \mathcal{F}, n)$ are isomorphic in the derived category of sheaves on S . We use this isomorphism to calculate the $\mathbb{S}_n$ -equivariant Euler characteristic of $\pi(n)_!j(n)^*\mathcal{F}$.

Our main result is that a similar resolution exists when $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ is a quasi-projective morphism of complex varieties and $\mathcal{F}$ is a mixed Hodge module: in this way, we obtain a new proof of the formula of [7] for the Serre characteristic of the configuration space $F(X, n)$, with the virtue that it applies with no modification to the relative case. (The Serre characteristic is the Euler characteristic of $H_c^\bullet(F(X, n), \mathbb{Q})$ in the Grothendieck group of mixed Hodge structures.)

A similar spectral sequence has been obtained by Totaro [23]. Since he works with cohomology, and not cohomology with compact support, his results depend on the relative dimension of X/S and require the projection $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ to be smooth; however, in that case, our spectral sequence is equivalent to his.

To extend our resolution from sheaves to mixed Hodge modules, we have to modify it, since i^* is not a t -exact functor of mixed Hodge modules (or of perverse sheaves, which underly

mixed Hodge modules), even when i is a closed immersion; already for $n = 2$, it is well-known that (0.1) must be replaced by an exact triangle. This difficulty is overcome by introducing Čech resolutions for the sheaves $i(J)_! i(J)^* \mathcal{F}$, which are constructed using the property of mixed Hodge modules that $f_!$ is t -exact for open affine immersions. We actually show that the proof works whenever we have three of Grothendieck's six operations, namely $\otimes$, $f_!$ and f^* , satisfying an analogue of the above condition on open affine immersions.

If we apply our resolution to the universal elliptic curve, we obtain a formula for the relative $\mathbb{S}_n$ -equivariant Serre characteristic of $\mathcal{M}_{1,n}/\mathcal{M}_{1,1}$. Eichler-Shimura theory, which calculates the cohomology of polynomials of the Hodge local system on $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}$, then leads to a formula for the $\mathbb{S}_n$ -equivariant Serre characteristic of $\mathcal{M}_{1,n}$.

The first value of n for which $\mathcal{M}_{1,n}$ has a contribution from the cusp forms, and hence has non-Tate cohomology, is $n = 11$, for which the (non-equivariant) Serre characteristic may be calculated by (5.6) to be

$$\begin{aligned} e(\mathcal{M}_{1,11}) = & L^{11} - 330 L^9 + 4575 L^8 - 30657 L^7 + 124992 L^6 - S_{12} \\ & - 336820 L^5 + 584550 L^4 - 406769 L^3 - 865316 L^2 + 2437776 L - 1814400; \end{aligned}$$

here, L denotes the mixed Hodge structure $\mathbb{Q}(-1)$, and S_{12} is a two-dimensional Hodge structure of weight 11, associated to the discriminant cusp form Δ . (We do not reproduce the equivariant Serre characteristic $e^{\mathbb{S}_{11}}(\mathcal{M}_{1,11})$ for lack of space: there are 56 irreducible representations of $\mathbb{S}_{11}$, although not all of these occur.) In particular, $\mathcal{M}_{1,11}$ has Euler characteristic -302400 .

The virtual Euler characteristic of the orbifold $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}$ equals $-1/12$. (This is a special case of the formula of Harer and Zagier [11], but is easy to prove directly, using the standard fundamental domain for the action of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on the upper half-plane.) It follows by induction on n , using the fibrations $\mathcal{M}_{1,n} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{1,n-1}$, that the virtual Euler characteristic of $\mathcal{M}_{1,n}$ equals $(-1)^n (n-1)!/12$. For $n \geq 5$, $\mathcal{M}_{1,n}$ is a fine moduli space (that is, no automorphism of an elliptic curve fixes 5 points), and thus its virtual Euler characteristic equals its Euler characteristic. The agreement between the resulting formula for $\chi(\mathcal{M}_{1,11})$ and the value which we have calculated provides a (modest) consistency check between our work and that of Harer and Zagier. We show in Proposition (5.7) that our formula for the Serre characteristic of $\mathcal{M}_{1,n}$ does give the correct value of $\chi(\mathcal{M}_{1,n})$, for all $n \geq 5$.

In a sequel to this paper [8], we show how to sum the Serre characteristics of the strata of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,n}$ to obtain a formula for its Hodge polynomial. For example,

$$\begin{aligned} e(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{1,11}) = & L^{11} + 2037 L^{10} + 213677 L^9 + 4577630 L^8 + 30215924 L^7 + 74269967 L^6 \\ & - S_{12} + 74269967 L^5 + 30215924 L^4 + 4577630 L^3 + 213677 L^2 + 2037 L + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Outline of the paper. In Section 1, we explain the relationship between Arnold's calculation of the cohomology of the configuration spaces $F(\mathbb{C}, n)$ and the theory of Stirling numbers of the first and second kinds.

Section 2 is devoted to the construction of the resolution in the simpler case of sheaves of abelian groups. This is generalized in Section 3 to the cases of perverse sheaves and of mixed Hodge modules.

In Section 4, we apply the associated spectral sequence to generalize the formula of [7] for the $\mathbb{S}_n$ -equivariant Serre characteristic of $F(X, n)$ to the relative case.

In Section 5, we apply the formulas of Section 4 to calculate the $\mathbb{S}_n$ -equivariant Serre characteristic of the moduli space $\mathcal{M}_{1,n}$.

Acknowledgments. I wish to thank the Department of Mathematics at the Université de Paris-VII, MIT and the Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik in Bonn for their hospitality during the inception, elaboration and completion of this paper, respectively. I am grateful to E. Looijenga for introducing me to the Eichler-Shimura theory, to D. Zagier for his help with the proof of Proposition (5.7), and to the anonymous referee for a number of excellent suggestions and corrections.

The author is partially supported by a research grant of the NSF and a fellowship of the A.P. Sloan Foundation.

1. THE COMBINATORICS OF PARTITIONS AND STIRLING NUMBERS

In this section, we recall the cohomology of the configuration space $F(\mathbb{C}, n)$ and its relationship with the Stirling numbers. We give more detail on the theory of Stirling numbers than is necessary, since it illuminates the combinatorics which we will apply to construct our resolution.

(1.1). **Partitions.** A partition J of n is a decomposition of the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ into disjoint non-empty subsets: for example, the partitions of $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ are

$$\begin{aligned} &\{1, 2, 3, 4\} \quad \{12, 3, 4\} \quad \{13, 2, 4\} \quad \{14, 2, 3\} \quad \{23, 1, 4\} \quad \{24, 1, 3\} \quad \{34, 1, 2\} \\ &\{123, 4\} \quad \{124, 3\} \quad \{134, 2\} \quad \{234, 1\} \quad \{12, 34\} \quad \{13, 24\} \quad \{14, 23\} \quad \{1234\}, \end{aligned}$$

where we abbreviate the subset $\{i_1, \dots, i_\ell\}$ to $i_1 \dots i_\ell$. We denote the subsets of J by $\{J_1, \dots, J_k\}$, in no particular order. Denote by $S(n, k)$ the set of partitions of n into k non-empty subsets.

Associated to a partition J of n is an equivalence relation on $\{1, \dots, n\}$, such that $i \sim_J j$ iff i and j lie in the same part of J . The set of all partitions of n is a poset: if J and K are partitions, $J \prec K$ iff $i \sim_J j$ implies that $i \sim_K j$, that is, iff K is coarser than J .

If $\mathbf{a} = (a_n \mid n \geq 1)$ is a sequence of natural numbers, let $|\mathbf{a}| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} na_n$.

Lemma (1.2). *The exponential generating function of the number $p(\mathbf{a})$ of partitions of $|\mathbf{a}|$ into a_j subsets of size j , $j \geq 1$, is*

$$B(\mathbf{t}) = \sum_{\mathbf{a}} p(\mathbf{a}) \frac{\mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{a}}}{|\mathbf{a}|!} = \exp\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{t_j}{j!}\right).$$

Proof. Indeed, $p(\mathbf{a})$ is the number of automorphisms of the set with $|\mathbf{a}|$ elements divided by the number of automorphisms of such a partition, namely

$$p(\mathbf{a}) = |\mathbf{a}|! / \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} j!^{a_j} a_j!,$$

from which the lemma follows. □

Let f be a power series

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_k t^k}{k!}.$$

Define the partial Bell polynomials $B_{n,k}$ by the generating function

$$\exp(xf(t)) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^n B_{n,k}(f_1, \dots, f_n) x^k.$$

Setting $t_j = xt^j f_j$ in the generating function $B(\mathbf{t})$ of Lemma (1.2), we obtain the explicit formula

$$(1.3) \quad B_{n,k}(f_1, \dots, f_n) = \sum_{J \in \mathcal{S}(n,k)} \prod_{i=1}^k f_{|J_i|}.$$

(See Ex. 2.11 of Macdonald [14].) In particular, the partial Bell polynomials have positive integral coefficients.

Proposition (1.4). *If g is the inverse power series to f (that is, $g(f(t)) = t$), then the matrices $F_{nk} = B_{n,k}(f_1, \dots, f_n)$ and $G_{nk} = B_{n,k}(g_1, \dots, g_n)$ are inverse to each other.*

Proof. The matrix F is the transition matrix between the bases $(t^k/k! \mid k \geq 0)$ and $(f(t)^k/k! \mid k \geq 0)$ of $\mathbb{Q}[t]$. Its inverse F^{-1} is thus the transition matrix between the bases $(f(t)^k/k! \mid k \geq 0)$ and $(t^k/k! \mid k \geq 0)$. Changing variables from t to $g(t)$, the result follows. $\square$

(1.5). **Stirling numbers of the first kind.** The Stirling number of the first kind $s(n, k)$ may be defined as $(-1)^{n-k}$ times the number of permutations on n letters with k cycles. A permutation of the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ is the same thing as a partition of n , together with a cyclic order on each part of the partition. Since a set of cardinality i has $(i-1)!$ cyclic orders, we see that

$$(1.6) \quad s(n, k) = \sum_{J \in \mathcal{S}(n,k)} \prod_{i=1}^n (-1)^{|J_i|-1} (|J_i| - 1)!.$$

Applying (1.3) with $f_k = (-1)^{k-1} (k-1)!$ (or $f(t) = \log(1+t)$), we see that

$$(1.7) \quad 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n s(n, k) \frac{t^n x^k}{n!} = (1+t)^x.$$

In particular, for $n \geq 1$,

$$(1.8) \quad \sum_{k=1}^n s(n, k) x^k = x(x-1) \dots (x-n+1).$$

(1.9). **Stirling numbers of the second kind.** The number $S(n, k)$ of partitions of n with k parts (i.e. the cardinality of $\mathcal{S}(n, k)$) is called a Stirling number of the second kind. The special case of (1.3) with $f(t) = e^t - 1$ (and hence $f_k = 1$ for all k) shows that the Stirling numbers of the second kind have generating function

$$(1.10) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n S(n, k) \frac{t^n x^k}{n!} = e^{x(e^t-1)} - 1.$$

For the reader's edification, we display the first few rows of the matrices of first and second Stirling numbers:

$$s = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & -3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -6 & 11 & -6 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 24 & -50 & 35 & -10 & 1 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \ddots \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad S = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 7 & 6 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 15 & 25 & 10 & 1 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}.$$

Applying Proposition (1.4) to the functions $f(t) = e^t - 1$ and $g(t) = \log(1+t)$, we see that the matrices s and S formed from the numbers $s(n, k)$ and $S(n, k)$ are inverse to each other:

$$(1.11) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} s(j, n) S(n, k) = \delta(j, k)$$

We may rewrite (1.8) in the form

$$\sum_{j=1}^n s(j, n) x^{-n} = x^{-j} (1-x) \dots (1-(j-1)x).$$

From (1.11), it now follows easily that

$$\sum_{n=k}^{\infty} S(n, k) x^n = \frac{x^k}{(1-x)(1-2x) \dots (1-kx)},$$

or equivalently,

$$S(n, k) = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq n} i_1 \dots i_k.$$

This is also not difficult to prove directly, by induction on n .

(1.12). **The cohomology of the configuration spaces $F(\mathbb{C}, n)$.** Let $H^\bullet(F(\mathbb{C}, n), \mathbb{Z})$ be the cohomology of the configuration space of the complex line. Given distinct j and k in $\{1, \dots, n\}$, let $\omega_{jk} \in H^1(F(\mathbb{C}, n), \mathbb{Z})$ be the integral cohomology class represented by the closed differential form

$$\Omega_{jk} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \frac{d(z_j - z_k)}{z_j - z_k}.$$

By induction on n , Arnold shows in [1] that the cohomology ring $H^\bullet(F(\mathbb{C}, n), \mathbb{Z})$ is generated by the classes ω_{jk} , subject to the relations $\omega_{jk} = \omega_{kj}$ and

$$(1.13) \quad \omega_{ij}\omega_{jk} + \omega_{jk}\omega_{ki} + \omega_{ki}\omega_{ij} = 0.$$

The action of the group $\mathbb{S}_n$ on the configuration space $F(\mathbb{C}, n)$ induces an action on the cohomology ring $H^\bullet(F(\mathbb{C}, n), \mathbb{Z})$, which permutes the generators, by the formula

$$\sigma \cdot \omega_{ij} = \omega_{\sigma(i)\sigma(j)}.$$

Using the above presentation of $H^\bullet(\mathbb{F}(\mathbb{C}, n), \mathbb{Z})$, Arnold shows that $H^{n-k}(\mathbb{F}(\mathbb{C}, n), \mathbb{Z})$ is a free abelian group of rank $(-1)^{n-k}s(n, k)$. This motivates the definition of a graded $\mathbb{S}_n$ -module $\mathfrak{s}(n, k)$, with

$$\mathfrak{s}(n, k)^i = \begin{cases} H^i(\mathbb{F}(\mathbb{C}, n), \mathbb{Z}), & i = n - k, \\ 0. & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

We may think of $\mathfrak{s}(n, k)$ as a lift of the Stirling number $s(n, k)$ to the category of graded $\mathbb{S}_n$ -modules.

Denote by $\mathbf{L}(n)$ the graded $\mathbb{S}_n$ -module $\mathfrak{s}(n, 1)$. More generally, if $\mathbf{n}$ is a finite set of cardinality n , let $\mathbf{L}(\mathbf{n})$ be the graded $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{n})$ -module defined in the same way as $\mathbf{L}(n)$ but with the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ replaced by $\mathbf{n}$. It is isomorphic to $\mathbf{L}(n)$, but to obtain an isomorphism, we must choose a total order on $\mathbf{n}$.

The following theorem is proved by Orlik and Solomon for general hyperplane arrangements [16] (see Theorem 4.21 of Orlik [15]). See also Lehrer-Solomon [12] for the special case which we consider.

Theorem (1.14). *There is a natural decomposition*

$$(1.15) \quad \mathfrak{s}(n, k) = \bigoplus_{J \in \mathbb{S}(n, k)} \mathfrak{s}(n, J),$$

together with natural isomorphisms

$$\mathfrak{s}(n, J) \cong \bigotimes_{i=1}^k \mathbf{L}(J_i).$$

Proof. The graded $\mathbb{S}_n$ -module $H^\bullet(\mathbb{F}(\mathbb{C}, n), \mathbb{Z})$ is spanned by monomials in the generators ω_{ij} . To such a monomial, we associate a forest (a graph each of whose components is a tree) with vertices the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$, and with an edge between vertices $i < j$ if and only if the generator ω_{ij} occurs in the monomial. Such a forest determines a partition of the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Let $\mathfrak{s}(n, J)$ be the span of the monomials associated to the partition J .

Since all of the forests related by application of one of Arnold's relations (1.13) give rise to the same partition, we see that $\mathfrak{s}(n, J)$ is well-defined. If J has k parts, its associated forest has $n - k$ edges, and hence $\mathfrak{s}(n, J)$ is a subgroup of $H^{n-k}(\mathbb{F}(\mathbb{C}, n), \mathbb{Z})$. In particular, if J is the unique partition in $\mathbb{S}(n, 1)$, we see that $\mathfrak{s}(n, J)$ is generated by all trees with n labelled vertices, modulo the Arnold relations. From this, it is easy to see that

$$\mathfrak{s}(n, J) \cong \bigotimes_{i=1}^k \mathfrak{s}(J_i, 1). \quad \square$$

The characters of the $\mathbb{S}_n$ -modules $\mathbf{L}(n)$ have been calculated by Hanlon [10] and Stanley [19]. From their formula, one may calculate the characters of $\mathfrak{s}(n, k)$ for all k .

Lemma (1.16). *The equivariant Euler characteristic of the graded $\mathbb{S}_n$ -module $\mathbb{L}(n)$, evaluated at $\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_n$, is given by the formula*

$$\chi_\sigma(\mathbb{L}(n)) = \begin{cases} -\frac{\mu(d)}{n}(-d)^{n/d}(n/d)!, & \text{if } \sigma \text{ has } n/d \text{ cycles of length } d, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(1.17). **A differential on $H^\bullet(\mathbb{F}(\mathbb{C}, n), \mathbb{Z})$.** We now study the differential

$$\partial : H^\bullet(\mathbb{F}(\mathbb{C}, n), \mathbb{Z}) \longrightarrow H^{\bullet-1}(\mathbb{F}(\mathbb{C}, n), \mathbb{Z})$$

of the algebra $H^\bullet(\mathbb{F}(\mathbb{C}, n), \mathbb{Z})$ associated to the diagonal action of the multiplicative group $\mathbb{C}^\times$ on $\mathbb{F}(\mathbb{C}, n)$; it is given by capping with the fundamental class of the circle $U(1) \subset \mathbb{C}^\times$. It follows from the definition of ω_{ij} that $\partial\omega_{ij} = 1$. One can easily check that ∂ is well-defined, by showing that the differential of the relation (1.13) vanishes:

$$\partial(\omega_{ij}\omega_{jk} + \omega_{jk}\omega_{ki} + \omega_{ki}\omega_{ij}) = (\omega_{jk} - \omega_{ij}) + (\omega_{ki} - \omega_{jk}) + (\omega_{ij} - \omega_{ki}) = 0.$$

The following lemma reflects the fact that the action of $\mathbb{C}^\times$ on $\mathbb{F}(\mathbb{C}, n)$ is free if $n > 1$, and that the resulting principal fibration is trivial.

Lemma (1.18). *If $n > 1$, the complex $(H^\bullet(\mathbb{F}(\mathbb{C}, n), \mathbb{Z}), \partial)$ is acyclic.*

Proof. Let H denote the operator of multiplication by ω_{12} . Since $\partial\omega_{12} = 1$, we see that $\partial \cdot H + H \cdot \partial$ equals the identity operator, proving acyclicity. $\square$

If $J \in \mathbb{S}(n, j)$ and $K \in \mathbb{S}(n, k)$ are partitions of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, denote by ∂_{JK} the component of ∂ mapping from $\mathfrak{s}(n, J)$ to $\mathfrak{s}(n, K)$; thus, ∂_{JK} vanishes unless $k = j + 1$.

Lemma (1.19). *The differential ∂_{JK} vanishes unless $K \prec J$.*

Proof. Let α be a monomial in the generators ω_{ij} of $H^\bullet(\mathbb{F}(\mathbb{C}, n), \mathbb{Z})$. By the definition of ∂ , $\partial\alpha$ is a sum of terms, in each of which one of the factors ω_{ij} occurring in α is omitted. Such a term corresponds to partition of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ in which i and j are no longer equivalent: in other words, the partition associated to the new monomial is a refinement of the partition associated to α . $\square$

2. RESOLVING SHEAVES ON CONFIGURATION SPACES

Before turning to the construction of resolutions of sheaves over configuration spaces, we explain by an informal argument why one expects Stirling numbers to arise in the construction.

Let $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ be a continuous map of locally compact topological spaces, and let X^n/S be the n th fibred power of X with itself, defined inductively by $X^0/S = S$ and

$$X^{n+1}/S = (X^n/S) \times_S X.$$

Denote by $\pi(n) : X^n/S \rightarrow S$ the projection to S .

The space X^n/S has a stratification, with strata indexed by the poset of partitions J of $\{1, \dots, n\}$: the stratum associated to a partition J is given by

$$\mathbb{F}(X/S, J) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n/S \mid x_i = x_j \text{ iff } i \sim_J j\}.$$

A stratum $F(X/S, K)$ lies in the closure of $F(X/S, J)$ if and only if $J \prec K$; the closure of $F(X/S, J)$ is the diagonal

$$X^J/S = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in X^n/S \mid x_i = x_j \text{ if } i \sim_J j\}.$$

If $J \in \mathbf{S}(n, k)$, denote by $i(J) : X^J/S \hookrightarrow X^n/S$ the diagonal embedding. If $\mathcal{F}$ is a sheaf on X^n/S , denote by $\mathcal{F}(J)$ the sheaf $i(J)_! i(J)^* \mathcal{F}$ on X^n/S .

If $J \in \mathbf{S}(n, k)$, $F(X/S, J)$ is isomorphic to $F(X/S, k)$; thus, we may represent the above stratification of X^n/S (informally as

$$X^n/S = \coprod_{k=1}^n S(n, k) \cdot F(X/S, k).$$

Equation (1.11) leads us to expect that there is a “virtual stratification” of $F(X/S, n)$, of the form

$$(2.1) \quad F(X/S, n) = \coprod_{k=1}^n s(n, k) \cdot X^k/S.$$

Rewritten in terms of generating functions, this becomes

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n [F(X/S, n)]}{n!} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\log(1+t)^n [X^n/S]}{n!} = (1+t)^{[X/S]},$$

where we think of the symbol $[X^n/S]$ as the n th power of $[X/S]$, as indeed it is in the Grothendieck group of motivic sheaves on S .

We may make sense of (2.1) using complexes of sheaves on X^n/S . Let $j(n) : F(X/S, n) \hookrightarrow X^n/S$ be the open embedding of the configuration space in X^n/S . There is a natural resolution $\mathcal{L}^\bullet(X/S, n, \mathcal{F})$ of $j(n)_! j(n)^* \mathcal{F}$, whose underlying graded sheaf has the form

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{L}^{n-k}(X/S, n, \mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_{J \in \mathbf{S}(n, k)} \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{s}(n, J), \mathcal{F}(J)).$$

For example, if $n = 2$, we recover (0.1) while if $n = 3$, we obtain the resolution

$$0 \rightarrow j(3)_! j(3)^* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(1, 2, 3) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(12, 3) \oplus \mathcal{F}(13, 2) \oplus \mathcal{F}(23, 1) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(123) \oplus \mathcal{F}(123) \rightarrow 0.$$

In the special case that $\mathcal{F}$ is a constant sheaf, we may interpret $\mathcal{F}(J)$ as a copy of the diagonal X^J , which is isomorphic to X^k when $J \in \mathbf{S}(n, k)$; replacing the $\mathbb{S}_n$ -module $\mathfrak{s}(n, J)$ by its Euler characteristic and bearing in mind (1.15), or its numerical version (1.6), we are led to (2.1).

(2.3). **Construction of the resolution.** If $J \prec K$ are partitions of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, denote by $i(J, K)$ the inclusion $X^K/S \hookrightarrow X^J/S$, and by $i(J, K)^* : \mathcal{F}(J) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(K)$ the induced map of sheaves. Let $\mathcal{L}^\bullet(X/S, n, \mathcal{F})$ be the complex of sheaves (2.2), with differential

$$(2.4) \quad d = \sum_{J \prec K} \partial_{KJ}^* \otimes i(J, K)^*.$$

For example, $\mathcal{L}^0(X/S, n, \mathcal{F}) \cong \mathcal{F}$, while $\mathcal{L}^1(X/S, n, \mathcal{F})$ is the direct sum

$$\mathcal{L}^1(X/S, n, \mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_{1 \leq k < l \leq n} \mathcal{F}(kl, 1, \dots, \widehat{k}, \dots, \widehat{l}, \dots, n),$$

since $\dim \mathfrak{s}(n, J) = 1$ for all $J \in \mathfrak{S}(n, n-1)$. In particular, $j^* \mathcal{L}^1(X/S, n, \mathcal{F}) = 0$.

Denote by $\eta : j(n)_! j(n)^* \Rightarrow \text{id}$ the unit of the adjunction between $j(n)_!$ and $j(n)^*$; it induces a map, also denoted by η , from $j(n)_! j(n)^* \mathcal{F}$ to $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{L}^0(X/S, n, \mathcal{F})$. The composition of arrows

$$j(n)_! j(n)^* \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathcal{L}^0(X/S, n, \mathcal{F}) \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{L}^1(X/S, n, \mathcal{F})$$

is zero, showing that $\eta : j(n)_! j(n)^* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^\bullet(X/S, n, \mathcal{F})$ is a morphism of complexes.

Theorem (2.5). *The morphism $\eta : j(n)_! j(n)^* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X/S, n, \mathcal{F})$ is a quasi-isomorphism.*

Proof. We apply the following lemma.

Lemma (2.6). *Let X be a locally compact stratified space with strata $\{X_J\}$, and let $j(J)$ be the locally closed embedding of the stratum X_J in X . Then a map of complexes of sheaves $\eta : \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2$ on X is a quasi-isomorphism if and only if $\eta : j(J)_! j(J)^* \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow j(J)_! j(J)^* \mathcal{F}_2$ is a quasi-isomorphism for all strata.*

Proof. If there is only one stratum, the lemma is a tautology. We now argue by induction on the number of strata. Let X_J be an open stratum of X , and let Z be its complement in X , with closed embedding $i : Z \hookrightarrow X$. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & j(J)_! j(J)^* \mathcal{F}_1 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_1 & \longrightarrow & i_! i^* \mathcal{F}_1 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \eta \downarrow & & \eta \downarrow & & \eta \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & j(J)_! j(J)^* \mathcal{F}_2 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_2 & \longrightarrow & i_! i^* \mathcal{F}_2 \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Since the rows are exact, we conclude by the five-lemma that $\eta : \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2$ is a quasi-isomorphism if and only if $\eta : j(J)_! j(J)^* \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow j(J)_! j(J)^* \mathcal{F}_2$ and $\eta : i_! i^* \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow i_! i^* \mathcal{F}_2$ are. By the induction hypothesis, $\eta : i_! i^* \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow i_! i^* \mathcal{F}_2$ is a quasi-isomorphism if and only if $\eta : j(K)_! j(K)^* \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow j(K)_! j(K)^* \mathcal{F}_2$ are for all $K \neq J$; this proves the induction step. $\square$

If J is a partition of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, let $j(J) : \mathbb{F}(X/S, J) \hookrightarrow X^n/S$ be the inclusion of the locally closed subspace $\mathbb{F}(X/S, J)$. By the base change theorem,

$$j(J)_! j(J)^* j(n)_! j(n)^* \mathcal{F} \cong \begin{cases} j(n)_! j(n)^* \mathcal{F}, & \text{if } J \text{ is the unique partition in } \mathfrak{S}(n, n), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The base change theorem we apply here is (1.2.1) of Verdier [22]. (In the language of Section 3 of this paper, it says that the natural transformation $\phi : g^* t_! \Rightarrow s_! f^*$ of Definition (3.3) (ii) is an isomorphism.)

Let the parts of J be $\{J_1, \dots, J_k\}$, in no particular order, and let n_i be the cardinality of J_i . Applying Theorem (1.14), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} j(J)_! j(J)^* \mathcal{L}^\bullet(X/S, n, \mathcal{F}) &\cong \text{Hom} \left(\bigoplus_{K \prec J} \mathfrak{s}(n, K), j(J)_! j(J)^* \mathcal{F} \right) \\ &\cong \text{Hom} \left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^k H^{n_i - \bullet}(\mathbb{F}(\mathbb{C}, n_i), \mathbb{Z}), j(J)_! j(J)^* \mathcal{F} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The differential on this complex of sheaves is induced by the differentials on the factors $H^{n_i-\bullet}(\mathbf{F}(\mathbb{C}, n_i), \mathbb{Z})$, and hence by Lemma (1.18) is acyclic if $n_i > 1$ for any i .

We see that the hypotheses of Lemma (2.6) are fulfilled: if J is a partition of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, $\eta : j(J)_! j(J)^* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow j(J)_! j(J)^* \mathcal{L}(X/S, n, \mathcal{F})$ is a quasi-isomorphism, since the two complexes are equal if $J \in \mathbf{S}(n, n)$, while they are both acyclic otherwise. $\square$

3. MACKEY 2-FUNCTORS

In this section, we axiomatize those properties of the 2-functor associating to a variety its derived category of mixed Hodge modules which will be used in constructing the analogue of the resolution $\mathcal{L}^\bullet(X/S, n, \mathcal{F})$ for mixed Hodge modules. It turns out that we need a natural analogue for 2-functors of Dress's Mackey functors [6].

Impatient readers may skip to Section (3.4): all they need to know about the Mackey 2-functor underlying the theory of mixed Hodge modules is that the usual properties of the functors $f_!$ and f^* for locally closed immersions hold, such as the base change theorem (in particular, we make no use of Verdier duality). In Section (3.4), we impose sufficient additional hypotheses on these functors to allow us to construct Čech-type resolutions of $j_! j^* \mathcal{F}$ when j is an open immersion and of $i_! i^* \mathcal{F}$ when i is a closed immersion. The analogue of $\mathcal{L}(X/S, n, \mathcal{F})$ for mixed Hodge modules is defined by replacing the sheaf $i(J)_! i(J)^* \mathcal{F}$ by this Čech complex.

In all 2-categories which occur in this paper, the 2-morphisms are invertible. We often consider 2-functors which have a category as their domain, which is thought of as a 2-category all of whose 2-morphisms are identities.

(3.1). **Mackey functors.** Mackey functors were introduced by Dress [6] as an axiomatization of induction in the theory of group representations. The motivating example is the functor $G \mapsto R(G)$ on the category of finite groups, which assigns to a group G its virtual representation ring. Given a morphism $f : G \rightarrow H$ of finite groups, there is a contravariant map $f^\bullet : R(H) \rightarrow R(G)$, pull-back along f , and a covariant map $f_\bullet : R(G) \rightarrow R(H)$ generalizing induction:

$$f_\bullet V = (\mathbb{C}[G] \otimes V)^H.$$

These functors satisfy the Mackey double coset formula, which says that given a Cartesian square of finite groups

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & G_2 \\ s \downarrow & & \downarrow t \\ H_1 & \xrightarrow{g} & H_2 \end{array}$$

we have the equality $g^\bullet t_\bullet = s_\bullet f^\bullet$.

(3.2). **Mackey 2-functors.** The group of virtual representations $R(G)$ is the Grothendieck group of the category $\mathbf{Proj}(G)$ of finite-dimensional representations. The 2-functor $G \mapsto \mathbf{Proj}(G)$ satisfies axioms which are the natural analogue for 2-functors of the notion of a Mackey functor. Broadly speaking, a Mackey 2-functor is a 2-functor satisfying these axioms, along with an additivity axiom whose analogue for Mackey functors is only meaningful if we allow G to be a groupoid.

The concept of a Mackey 2-functor is not new: it was introduced by Deligne in Exposé XVII of SGA 4 [2] (though not under this name).

Definition (3.3). A Mackey 2-functor from a category $\mathbf{Cat}$ to a 2-category $\mathbb{T}$ consists of a pair of 2-functors $D^\bullet : \mathbf{Cat}^\circ \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ (where $\mathbf{Cat}^\circ$ is the opposite of $\mathbf{Cat}$) and $D_\bullet : \mathbf{Cat} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$, such that

- (i) if X is an object of $\mathbf{Cat}$, the objects $D^\bullet(X)$ and $D_\bullet(X)$ are identical — we denote this object by $D(X)$, and if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a morphism of $\mathbf{Cat}$, we denote the 1-morphism $D^\bullet(f) : D(Y) \rightarrow D(X)$ by $f^\bullet$ and the 1-morphism $D_\bullet(f) : D(X) \rightarrow D(Y)$ by $f_\bullet$;
- (ii) (base change) to each Cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & X_2 \\ s \downarrow & & \downarrow t \\ Y_1 & \xrightarrow{g} & Y_2 \end{array}$$

in $\mathbf{Cat}$ is associated a natural 2-morphism $\phi : g^\bullet t_\bullet \Rightarrow s_\bullet f^\bullet$, such that given a diagram each square of which is Cartesian

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_1 & \xrightarrow{f} & X_2 & \xrightarrow{f'} & X_3 \\ s \downarrow & & \downarrow t & & \downarrow u \\ Y_1 & \xrightarrow{g} & Y_2 & \xrightarrow{g'} & Y_3 \\ s' \downarrow & & \downarrow t' & & \\ Z_1 & \xrightarrow{h} & Z_2 & & \end{array}$$

the 2-morphism ϕ associated to the top (resp. left) pair of squares is the 2-composition of the 2-morphisms associated to the squares from which it is formed;

- (iii) (additivity) there are 2-morphisms of bifunctors $\alpha : D^\bullet(X \amalg Y) \Rightarrow D^\bullet(X) \oplus D^\bullet(Y)$ and $\beta : D_\bullet(X \amalg Y) \Rightarrow D_\bullet(X) \oplus D_\bullet(Y)$, such that

$$\alpha_{X,Y} = \beta_{X,Y} : D(X \amalg Y) \Longrightarrow D(X) \oplus D(Y).$$

(3.4). **Exact Mackey 2-functors.** Let $\mathbf{Var}$ be the category of quasi-projective complex varieties, with morphisms the locally closed immersions. Let $\mathbb{T}$ be the 2-category whose objects are t -categories (Beilinson-Bernstein-Deligne [4]), whose 1-morphisms are right t -exact functors possessing a right adjoint, and whose 2-morphisms are natural isomorphisms.

Definition (3.5). An exact Mackey 2-functor on $\mathbf{Var}$ is a Mackey 2-functor with values in $\mathbb{T}$ such that

- (i) for closed immersions $i : Z \hookrightarrow X$, $i^\bullet$ has right adjoint $i_\bullet$, and $i_\bullet$ is fully faithful;
- (ii) for open immersions $j : U \hookrightarrow X$, $j_\bullet$ has right adjoint $j^\bullet$ and is fully faithful;
- (iii) if $i : Z \hookrightarrow X$ is a closed immersion, and $j : U \hookrightarrow X$ is the open immersion of the complement $U = X \setminus Z$, there is an exact triangle $(j_\bullet j^\bullet V, V, i_\bullet i^\bullet V)$ for each object V of $D(X)$.

(iv) if j is an affine open immersion, the functor $j_\bullet$ is t -exact.

We have in mind three examples of exact Mackey 2-functors.

Example (3.6). The 2-functors $X \mapsto (D^b(X), f_\bullet = f_!, f^\bullet = f^*)$ assigning to X the derived category of sheaves of abelian groups with the usual t -structure.

Example (3.7). The 2-functors $X \mapsto ({}^pD_c^b(X), f_\bullet = f_!, f^\bullet = f^*)$ assigning to X the derived category of bounded complexes of sheaves with constructible cohomology, together with the t -structure associated to the *middle* perversity p (axiom (iv) is Corollaire 4.1.3 of [4]).

Example (3.8). The 2-functors $X \mapsto (D^b(\text{MHM}(X)), f_\bullet = f_!, f^\bullet = f^*)$ assigning to X the derived category of mixed Hodge modules with the natural t -structure.

The Grothendieck group $K_0(\mathbb{T})$ of a triangulated category $\mathbb{T}$ is the abelian group generated by the isomorphism classes of objects of $\mathbb{T}$ (where we assume that these form a set); we impose the relation $[V] \sim [U] + [W]$ for all exact triangles (U, V, W) in $\mathbb{T}$. For example, if $\mathbb{T}$ is the derived category $D^b(\text{Ab})$ of bounded complexes in an abelian category Ab , then $K_0(\mathbb{T})$ may be identified with the Grothendieck group $K_0(\text{Ab})$ of the abelian category Ab , which is the abelian group generated by the isomorphism classes of objects of Ab , with the relation $[V] \sim [U] + [W]$ whenever V is an extension of W by U .

Although we will not need it, the following result goes some way towards justifying our introduction of Mackey 2-functors.

Proposition (3.9). *Let D be an exact Mackey 2-functor, and let K be the composition of D with the functor K_0 from $\mathbb{T}$ to Ab . Then K is a Mackey functor on Var .*

(3.10). **Čech complexes.** Let $j : U \hookrightarrow X$ be an open immersion and let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq d}$ be a finite cover of U by affine open immersions $j_i : U_i \hookrightarrow X$. For example, we might take the U_i to be complements of Cartier divisors in X . Using these data, we now define a Čech-type resolution of $j_\bullet j^\bullet \mathcal{F}$, where D is an exact Mackey 2-functor. (See also Proposition 2.19 of Saito [17] and Section 3.4 of Beilinson [3].)

Definition (3.11). The Čech-complex $\mathcal{C}_\bullet(X, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ is the graded object of $D(X)$

$$\mathcal{C}_k(X, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_{i_0 < \dots < i_k} (j_{i_0 \dots i_k})_\bullet (j_{i_0 \dots i_k})^\bullet \mathcal{F},$$

where $j_{i_0 \dots i_k}$ is the open immersion of $U_{i_0 \dots i_k} = \bigcap_{\ell=0}^k U_{i_\ell}$ in X . Its differential is the sum of maps

$$\partial = \sum_{\ell=0}^k (-1)^\ell \partial_\ell : \mathcal{C}_k(X, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{k-1}(X, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}).$$

Here, $\partial_\ell : (j_{i_0 \dots i_k})_\bullet (j_{i_0 \dots i_k})^\bullet \mathcal{F} \rightarrow (j_{i_0 \dots \widehat{i_\ell} \dots i_k})_\bullet (j_{i_0 \dots \widehat{i_\ell} \dots i_k})^\bullet \mathcal{F}$ is induced by the adjunction $q_\bullet q^\bullet \Rightarrow \text{id}$ associated to the open immersion $q : U_{i_0 \dots i_k} \hookrightarrow U_{i_0 \dots \widehat{i_\ell} \dots i_k}$.

If $\mathbb{T}$ is a t -category, let $H^0(\mathbb{T})$ be its heart. Recall from Section 3.1.9 of [4] the realization functor

$$\text{real} : D^b(H^0(\mathbb{T})) \longrightarrow \mathbb{T};$$

this is an exact functor mapping bounded complexes $\mathcal{C}_\bullet(H^0(\mathbb{T}))$ to objects $\text{real}(\mathcal{C}_\bullet)$ in $\mathbb{T}$.

Proposition (3.12). *Let D be an exact Mackey 2-functor, let $j : U \hookrightarrow X$ be an open immersion, let $i : Z \hookrightarrow X$ be the closed immersion of the complement $Z = X \setminus U$, and let $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}_{0 \leq i \leq d}$ be a cover of U by affine open immersions $j_i : U_i \hookrightarrow X$. Then*

- (i) $\text{real}(\mathcal{C}_\bullet(X, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})) \in \text{Ob } D(X)^{[-d, 0]}$ is isomorphic to $j_\bullet j^\bullet \mathcal{F}$;
- (ii) $\text{real}(\text{cone}(\mathcal{C}_\bullet(X, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F})) \in \text{Ob } D(X)^{[-d-1, 0]}$ is isomorphic to $i_\bullet i^\bullet \mathcal{F}$.

Proof. Note that (ii) is implied by (i) and the five-lemma: in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & i_\bullet i^\bullet \mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & j_\bullet j^\bullet \mathcal{F}[1] & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \simeq \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \simeq \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & \text{cone}(\mathcal{C}_\bullet(X, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_\bullet(X, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})[1] & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

the top row is exact by axiom (iii) for exact Mackey 2-functors, and the bottom row is obviously exact.

We now prove (i) by induction on d : for $d = 0$, $\mathcal{C}_\bullet(X, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) \cong j_\bullet j^\bullet \mathcal{F}$, and the proposition is a tautology.

The open subset $U^0 = \bigcup_{i=1}^d U_i$ of X has cover $\mathcal{U}^0 = \{U_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq d}$. Let j^0 and j_0 be the open immersions of U^0 and U_0 in X , and define $\mathcal{F}^0 = (j^0)_\bullet (j^0)^\bullet \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}_0 = (j_0)_\bullet (j_0)^\bullet \mathcal{F}$. Let p be the locally closed immersion of $U \setminus U^0 = U_0 \setminus U^0$ in X . We now form the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}^0 & \longrightarrow & j_\bullet j^\bullet \mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & p_\bullet p^\bullet \mathcal{F} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \simeq \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \simeq \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_\bullet(X, \mathcal{U}^0, \mathcal{F}^0) & \rightarrow & \mathcal{C}_\bullet(X, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F}) & \rightarrow & \text{cone}(\mathcal{C}_\bullet(X, \mathcal{U}^0 \cap U_0, \mathcal{F}_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_0) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

The lower row is defined by dividing the summands $(j_{i_0 \dots i_k})_\bullet (j_{i_0 \dots i_k})^\bullet \mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{C}(X, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$ into two classes:

- (i) if $i_0 > 0$, the term $(j_{i_0 \dots i_k})_\bullet (j_{i_0 \dots i_k})^\bullet \mathcal{F}$ is a summand of $\mathcal{C}_\bullet(\mathcal{U}^0, (j^0)_\bullet (j^0)^\bullet \mathcal{F})$;
- (ii) if $i_0 = 0$, the term $(j_{0i_1 \dots i_k})_\bullet (j_{0i_1 \dots i_k})^\bullet \mathcal{F}$ is a summand of $\text{cone}_k(\mathcal{C}_\bullet(\mathcal{U}^0 \cap U_0, \mathcal{F}_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_0)$.

In particular, the bottom row is exact.

The top row is exact by axiom (iii) for exact Mackey 2-functors, applied to the closed immersion of $U \setminus U^0$ in U , while the outer vertical arrows are quasi-isomorphisms by the induction hypothesis. The proposition now follows by the five-lemma. $\square$

(3.13). **The resolution $\mathcal{L}_\bullet^\bullet(X/S, n, \mathcal{F})$ for mixed Hodge modules.** Using the Čech-complexes $\mathcal{C}_\bullet(X, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{F})$, we now construct a resolution of $j_! j^* \mathcal{F}$, where $\mathcal{F}$ is a mixed Hodge module. The functor i^* is not t -exact on mixed Hodge modules for general closed immersions i ; for this reason, our construction depends on the choice of an auxiliary cover $\mathcal{U}$ of $F(X/S, 2)$ by affine open immersions $j_i : U_i \hookrightarrow X$. (If X/S is a smooth family of curves, we may take the cover to have one element $\mathcal{U} = \{F(X/S, 2)\}$, since in that case, the diagonal in X^2/S is a Cartier divisor.) We will actually construct the resolution in the more general setting of exact Mackey 2-functors.

For $k, l \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $k \neq l$, let $\pi_{kl} : X^n/S \rightarrow X^2/S$ be the morphism which projects onto the k th and l th factors. Define a cover $\mathcal{U}(J)$ of the complement of the diagonal $i(J) :$

$X^J/S \hookrightarrow X^n/S$ by

$$\mathcal{U}(J) = \{\pi_{kl}^{-1}(U_i) \mid k \sim_J l \text{ and } U_i \in \mathcal{U}\}.$$

The open immersions $\pi_{kl}^{-1}(U_i) \hookrightarrow X^n/S$ are affine, since affine open immersions are preserved under base change (EGA II, 1.6.2 [9]).

By Proposition (3.12), the realization of the complex $\text{cone}(\mathcal{C}_\bullet(X^n/S, \mathcal{U}(J), \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F})$ is quasi-isomorphic to $i(J)_\bullet i(J)^\bullet \mathcal{F}$. If $J \prec K$, the morphism

$$i(J, K)^* : i(J)_\bullet i(J)^\bullet \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow i(K)_\bullet i(K)^\bullet \mathcal{F}$$

is induced by an inclusion of complexes

$$i(J, K)^* : \mathcal{C}_\bullet(X^n/S, \mathcal{U}(J), \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}_\bullet(X^n/S, \mathcal{U}(K), \mathcal{F}),$$

which exists because the cover $\mathcal{U}(K)$ contains the open cover $\mathcal{U}(J)$.

As in the case of sheaves, our resolution of $j_\bullet j^\bullet \mathcal{F}$ is a sum over partitions (2.2); unlike that case, the result is a double complex, and we must take the realization of its total complex to obtain an object of $\mathbf{D}(X^n/S)$. Let

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{U}}^{n-k, -j}(X/S, n, \mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_{J \in \mathbf{S}(n, k)} \text{Hom}(s(n, J), \text{cone}_j(\mathcal{C}_\bullet(X^n/S, \mathcal{U}(J), \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F})).$$

There are two differentials: the analogue of differential (2.4),

$$d = \sum_{J \prec K} \partial_{KJ}^* \otimes i(J, K)^*,$$

and the Čech-differential $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{U}}^{n-k, -j}(X/S, n, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{U}}^{n-k, 1-j}(X/S, n, \mathcal{F})$.

We may identify $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{U}}^{0, \bullet}(X/S, n, \mathcal{F})$ with $\mathcal{F}$; thus, there is a natural coaugmentation

$$\eta : j_\bullet j^\bullet \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{U}}^{0, \bullet}(X/S, n, \mathcal{F}).$$

If σ is a permutation, the cover $\mathcal{U}(J)$ is carried into $\mathcal{U}(\sigma \cdot J)$ by the action of σ , so that σ maps $\mathcal{C}_\bullet(X^n/S, \mathcal{U}(J), \mathcal{F})$ isomorphically to $\mathcal{C}_\bullet(X^n/S, \mathcal{U}(\sigma \cdot J), \mathcal{F})$. The differential of $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{U}}^{\bullet, \bullet}(X/S, n, \mathcal{F})$ is invariant under the action of σ , showing that $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{U}}^{\bullet, \bullet}(X/S, n, \mathcal{F})$ carries an action of $\mathbb{S}_n$. It is clear that the coaugmentation η is $\mathbb{S}_n$ -equivariant.

We now come to the main result of this paper; we omit the proof, since it is essentially identical to that of Theorem (2.5).

Theorem (3.14). *Let $\mathbf{D}$ be an exact Mackey 2-functor. If $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ is a quasi-projective morphism of complex varieties, $\mathcal{F}$ is an object of $\mathbf{D}(X)$ and $\mathcal{U}$ is a cover of $\mathbf{F}(X/S, 2)$ by affine open immersions, the coaugmentation*

$$\eta : j_\bullet j^\bullet \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{U}}^{\bullet, \bullet}(X/S, n, \mathcal{F})$$

induces an $\mathbb{S}_n$ -equivariant quasi-isomorphism between the objects $\text{real}(\text{Tot } \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{U}}^{\bullet, \bullet}(X/S, n, \mathcal{F}))$ and $j_\bullet j^\bullet \mathcal{F}$ in $\mathbf{D}(X^n/S)$.

4. THE $\mathbb{S}_n$ -EQUIVARIANT RELATIVE SERRE CHARACTERISTIC OF $j(n)_\bullet j(n)^\bullet \mathcal{E}^{\boxtimes n}$

(4.1). **The relative Serre characteristic.** Let D be an exact Mackey 2-functor, and let $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of quasi-projective complex varieties. If $\mathcal{F}$ is an object of $D(X)$, the *relative Serre characteristic* $e_S(X, \mathcal{F})$ of $\mathcal{F}$ is the class of $\pi_\bullet \mathcal{F}$ in $K(S)$, where $K(S)$ is the Grothendieck group $K_0(D(S))$ of the triangulated category $D(S)$.

This terminology is motivated by the special case in which $D = D^b(\mathbf{MHM})$ and $S = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$. If we apply to $e(X, \mathcal{F})$ the homomorphism $\varepsilon : K_0(\mathbf{MHM}(\text{Spec}(\mathbb{C}))) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[t]$ defined by

$$\varepsilon(V) = \sum_{i,k} (-1)^i t^k \dim \text{gr}_k^W V^i,$$

we obtain the Serre characteristic of $\mathcal{F}$,

$$\varepsilon(e(X, \mathcal{F})) = \sum_{i,k} (-1)^i t^k \dim \text{gr}_k^W H_c^i(X, \mathcal{F}).$$

Now suppose a finite group Γ acts on X and Y , and the morphism π is Γ -equivariant. If $\mathcal{F}$ is an object of $D^\Gamma(X)$, the equivariant relative Serre characteristic $e_S^\Gamma(X, \mathcal{F})$ is the class of $\pi_\bullet \mathcal{F}$ in $K^\Gamma(S)$, where $K^\Gamma(X)$ is the Grothendieck group of Γ -equivariant objects in $D(X)$.

When D is defined over a field k of characteristic 0 and the categories $D(X)$ have tensor products, the associated Grothendieck groups $K^\Gamma(X)$ are λ -rings, by the arguments of [7]. If in addition the action of Γ on X is trivial, the Peter-Weyl theorem (see Theorem 3.2 of [7]) implies the isomorphism of λ -rings

$$K^\Gamma(X) \cong R(\Gamma) \otimes K(X),$$

where $R(\Gamma)$ is the virtual representation ring of Γ (the Grothendieck group of finite-dimensional $k[\Gamma]$ -modules).

In this section, we calculate $e_S^{\mathbb{S}_n}(F(X/S, n), j(n)_\bullet j(n)^\bullet \mathcal{E}^{\boxtimes n})$. We obtain a generalization of Theorem 5.6 of [7], which is the special case of Theorem (4.5) where $D = \mathbf{MHM}$, $S = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathcal{E} = \mathbb{1}$ is the unit of $D(X)$.

The reader interested only in the case of mixed Hodge modules may skip the next paragraph, whose rôle is to axiomatize the projection axiom.

(4.2). **Green 2-functors.** If G is a finite group, $R(G)$ is not only an abelian group, but also a commutative ring: furthermore, the functor $f^\bullet$ preserves this product, and we have the projection axiom, which says that if $f : G \rightarrow H$ is a morphism of finite groups, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & R(G) \otimes R(H) & \\ \swarrow 1 \otimes f^\bullet & & \searrow f_\bullet \otimes 1 \\ R(G) \otimes R(G) & & R(H) \otimes R(H) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R(G) & \xrightarrow{f_\bullet} & R(H) \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are multiplication in $R(G)$ and $R(H)$. Dress calls a Mackey functor with these additional structures a Green functor.

The following definition gives the analogous condition for exact Mackey 2-functors.

Definition (4.3). An exact Green 2-functor is an exact Mackey 2-functor D on $\mathbf{Var}$ such that given a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in $\mathbf{Var}$, there is a natural 2-morphism

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & D(X \times Y) & & \\
 & (1 \times f)^\bullet \swarrow & & \searrow (f \times 1)_\bullet & \\
 D(X \times X) & & \xrightarrow{\psi_f} & & D(Y \times Y) \\
 i_X^\bullet \downarrow & & & & \downarrow i_Y^\bullet \\
 D(X) & \xrightarrow{f_\bullet} & & & D(Y)
 \end{array}$$

where $i_X : X \rightarrow X \times X$ and $i_Y : Y \rightarrow Y \times Y$ are the diagonal immersions.

These 2-morphisms must satisfy the condition that for any pair of composable arrows $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$, the 2-morphism ψ_{gf} equals the 2-pasting of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & D(X \times Z) & & & \\
 & & (1 \times g)^\bullet \swarrow & & \searrow (f \times 1)_\bullet & & \\
 & D(X \times Y) & & & D(Y \times Z) & & \\
 (1 \times f)^\bullet \swarrow & & \xrightarrow{\phi} & & \searrow (1 \times g)^\bullet & & \\
 D(X \times X) & \xrightarrow{\psi_f} & D(Y \times Y) & \xrightarrow{\psi_g} & D(Z \times Z) & & \\
 i_X^\bullet \downarrow & & i_Y^\bullet \downarrow & & \downarrow i_Z^\bullet & & \\
 D(X) & \xrightarrow{f_\bullet} & D(Y) & \xrightarrow{g_\bullet} & D(Z) & &
 \end{array}$$

All three examples of exact Mackey 2-functors which we gave are exact Green 2-functors.

(4.4). **A formula for $e_S^{\mathbb{S}^n}(\mathbf{F}(X/S, n), j(n)^\bullet \mathcal{E}^{\boxtimes n})$.** Let D be an exact Green 2-functor defined over $\mathbb{Q}$ (that is, all of the t -categories $D(X)$ are defined over $\mathbb{Q}$). If $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ is a quasi-projective morphism of complex varieties and $\mathcal{E}$ is an object of $D(X)$, we define

$$\mathcal{E}^{\boxtimes n} = \pi_1^\bullet \mathcal{E} \otimes \dots \otimes \pi_n^\bullet \mathcal{E} \in \mathrm{Ob} D^{\mathbb{S}^n}(X^n/S),$$

where $\pi_i : X^n/S \rightarrow X$ is the i th projection.

In calculating $e_S^{\mathbb{S}^n}(\mathbf{F}(X/S, n), j(n)^\bullet \mathcal{E}^{\boxtimes n})$, we make free use of the results of [7]. Recall from loc. cit. that if R is a complete λ -ring, with decreasing filtration $F_i R$, $i \geq 0$, there is an operation

$$\mathrm{Exp}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma_n(x) = \exp\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \psi_n(x)\right) : F_1 R \longrightarrow 1 + F_1 R$$

an analogue of the exponential, with inverse

$$\mathrm{Log}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \log(\psi_n(x)) : 1 + F_1 R \longrightarrow F_1 R.$$

Here, σ_n is the n th σ -operation on R , and ψ_n is the n th Adams operation. For example, if $R = \mathbb{Z}[[q]]$ has its standard λ -ring structure, then

$$\text{Exp}(a_1q + a_2q^2 + a_3q^3 + \dots) = (1 - q)^{-a_1}(1 - q^2)^{-a_2}(1 - q^3)^{-a_3} \dots$$

The ring of symmetric functions Λ is the graded ring

$$\Lambda = \varprojlim \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_k]^{\mathbb{S}_k},$$

where the variables x_i are assigned degree 1. It is a polynomial ring in the complete symmetric functions

$$h_n = \sum_{i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_n} x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_n},$$

and may thus be identified with the free λ -ring on one generator h_1 , such that $\sigma_n(h_1) = h_n$ is the n th complete symmetric function, and

$$\psi_n(h_1) = p_n = \sum_i x_i^n$$

is the n th power sum. Denote the abelian group of symmetric polynomials of degree n by Λ_n : it is free of rank $p(n)$, the number of partitions of n .

If R is a λ -ring, denote by $\Lambda \hat{\otimes} R$ the complete tensor product of Λ with R , with filtration

$$F_i(\Lambda \hat{\otimes} R) = \left\{ \sum_{n=i}^{\infty} a_n \otimes r_n \mid a_n \in \Lambda_n, r_n \in R \right\}, \quad i \geq 0.$$

(In particular, $\Lambda \hat{\otimes} R = F_0(\Lambda \hat{\otimes} R)$.)

If V is an $\mathbb{S}_n$ -module defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, denote by $\text{ch}(V) \in \Lambda$ its Frobenius characteristic; this is the degree n symmetric function given by the explicit expression

$$\text{ch}(V) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_n} \text{Tr}_V(\sigma) p_\sigma,$$

where p_σ is the monomial in the power sums obtained by taking one factor p_k for each cycle of σ of length k . By the Peter-Weyl theorem, this definition may be extended to $\mathbb{S}_n$ -modules in a 1-ring $\mathcal{R}$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$: there is a naturally defined isomorphism ch_n between the Grothendieck group of $\mathbb{S}_n$ -modules in $\mathcal{R}$ and $\Lambda_n \otimes K_0(\mathcal{R})$ (see Theorem 4.8 of [7]).

Theorem (4.5). *Let $\mathbf{D}$ be an exact Green 2-functor, and let $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ be a morphism of quasi-projective complex varieties. If $\mathcal{E}$ is an object of $\mathbf{D}(X)$, the following equality holds in $\Lambda \hat{\otimes} K(S)$:*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{e}_{S^n}^{\mathbb{S}_n}(\mathbf{F}(X/S, n), j(n)^{\bullet} \mathcal{E}^{\boxtimes n}) = \text{Exp} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n} \mathbf{e}(X/S, \log(1 + p_n \otimes \mathcal{E}^{\otimes n})) \right).$$

Proof. By Theorem (3.14), we know that

$$\begin{aligned}
e_S^{\mathbb{S}_n}(F(X/S, n), j(n)^\bullet \mathcal{E}^{\boxtimes n}) &= e_S^{\mathbb{S}_n}(X^n/S, j(n)^\bullet j(n)^\bullet \mathcal{E}^{\boxtimes n}) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n e_S^{\mathbb{S}_n} \left(X^n/S, \bigoplus_{J \in \mathbb{S}(n, k)} \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{s}(n, J), \mathcal{E}^{\boxtimes n}(J)) \right) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^n \bigoplus_{J \in \mathbb{S}(n, k)} \text{ch}(\mathfrak{s}(n, J)^\vee) \otimes e_S(X^n/S, \mathcal{E}^{\boxtimes n}(J)) \in \Lambda_n \otimes K(S).
\end{aligned}$$

We may replace $\mathfrak{s}(n, k)^\vee$ by $\mathfrak{s}(n, k)$, since any $\mathbb{S}_n$ -module is isomorphic to its dual. Applying Theorem (1.14), we obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \bigoplus_{J \in \mathbb{S}(n, k)} \prod_{i=1}^k \text{ch}(\mathbb{L}(J_i)) \otimes e_S(X, \mathcal{E}^{\otimes |J_i|}),$$

where the product is taken in the ring $\Lambda \hat{\otimes} K(S)$. Summing over n gives

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e_S^{\mathbb{S}_n}(X^n/S, j(n)^\bullet j(n)^\bullet \mathcal{E}^{\boxtimes n}) = \text{Exp} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \text{ch}(\mathbb{L}(n)) \otimes e_S(X, \mathcal{E}^{\otimes n}) \right).$$

The theorem now follows from the character formula of Lemma (1.16), which may be rewritten as

$$\text{ch}(\mathbb{L}(n)) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} (-1)^{n/d-1} \mu(d) p_d^{n/d}.$$

We see that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{L}(n) \otimes e_S(X, \mathcal{E}^{\otimes n}) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} (-1)^{n/d-1} \mu(d) p_d^{n/d} e_S(X, \mathcal{E}^{\otimes n}) \\
&= \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} \sum_{e=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{e-1}}{e} p_d^e e_S(X, \mathcal{E}^{\otimes de}) \\
&= \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(d)}{d} e_S(X, \log(1 + p_d \otimes \mathcal{E}^{\otimes d})). \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Remark (4.6). Rewriting Exp in terms of Adams operations, Theorem (4.5) becomes

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e_S^{\mathbb{S}_n}(F(X/S, n), j(n)^\bullet \mathcal{E}^{\boxtimes n}) = \exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{\ell-1}}{\ell} p_n^\ell \sum_{d|n} \mu(n/d) \psi_d(e_S(X, \mathcal{E}^{\otimes \ell n/d})) \right).$$

For example, with the notation $e(n) = e_S(X, \mathcal{E}^{\otimes n})$, we have

$$e_S^{\mathbb{S}_n}(F(X/S, n), j(n)^\bullet \mathcal{E}^{\boxtimes n}) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash n} s_\lambda \otimes \Phi_\lambda,$$

where $\Phi_{1^n} = \sigma_{1^n}(\mathbf{e}(1))$, while the other Φ_λ are as follows for $|\lambda| \leq 4$:

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_2 &= \sigma_2(\mathbf{e}(1)) - \mathbf{e}(2), \\ \Phi_3 &= \sigma_3(\mathbf{e}(1)) - \mathbf{e}(1)\mathbf{e}(2), \quad \Phi_{21} = \sigma_{21}(\mathbf{e}(1)) - \mathbf{e}(1)\mathbf{e}(2) + \mathbf{e}(3), \\ \Phi_4 &= \sigma_4(\mathbf{e}(1)) - \sigma_2(\mathbf{e}(1))\mathbf{e}(2) + \sigma_{1^2}(\mathbf{e}(2)), \\ \Phi_{31} &= \sigma_{31}(\mathbf{e}(1)) - \sigma_2(\mathbf{e}(1))\mathbf{e}(2) - \sigma_{11}(\mathbf{e}(1))\mathbf{e}(2) + \mathbf{e}(1)\mathbf{e}(3) + \sigma_2(\mathbf{e}(2)) - \mathbf{e}(4), \\ \Phi_{2^2} &= \sigma_{2^2}(\mathbf{e}(1)) - \sigma_2(\mathbf{e}(1))\mathbf{e}(2) + \mathbf{e}(1)\mathbf{e}(3) + \sigma_{1^2}(\mathbf{e}(2)), \\ \Phi_{21^2} &= \sigma_{21^2}(\mathbf{e}(1)) - \sigma_{1^2}(\mathbf{e}(1))\mathbf{e}(2) + \mathbf{e}(1)\mathbf{e}(3) - \mathbf{e}(4).\end{aligned}$$

Note that the operations Φ_λ of [7] are the specializations of these polynomials obtained on setting $\mathbf{e}(n) = \mathbf{e}(1)$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Applying Theorem (4.5) with $\mathcal{E}$ equal to the unit object $\mathbb{1}$ of $D(X)$, and using that $\mathbb{1}^{\otimes n} = \mathbb{1}$ for all n , we obtain the following corollary. Here, we abbreviate $\mathbf{e}_S(X, \mathbb{1})$ to $\mathbf{e}_S(X)$.

Corollary (4.7). $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{e}_S^{\mathbb{S}_n}(F(X/S, n)) = \text{Exp}(\text{Log}(1 + p_1) \mathbf{e}_S(X))$

Theorem (4.5), and its corollary, generalize immediately to the equivariant situation, in which a finite group Γ acts on X and S , and the morphism $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ and $\mathcal{E}$ are G -equivariant. The calculations now take place in the complete λ -ring $\Lambda \hat{\otimes} K^\Gamma(S)$, and the formulas do not change.

(4.8). **The configuration spaces of group schemes.** If $\mathbb{G}$ is a group scheme over S and $n > 0$, the scheme $F(\mathbb{G}/S, n)$ is an $\mathbb{S}_n$ -equivariant $\mathbb{G}$ -torsor, and we may consider the quotient scheme $\mathbb{G} \backslash F(\mathbb{G}/S, n)$. Imitating the above proof, we now calculate its $\mathbb{S}_n$ -equivariant relative Euler characteristic. There is also a Γ -equivariant generalization, when a finite group Γ acts on all of the data; however, it is formally identical, so we simplify notation by only treating the case $\Gamma = 1$.

Theorem (4.9). *If in the setting of Theorem (4.5) $X = \mathbb{G}$ is a group scheme, then*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{e}_S^{\mathbb{S}_n}(\mathbb{G} \backslash F(\mathbb{G}/S, n)) = \frac{\text{Exp}(\text{Log}(1 + p_1) \mathbf{e}_S(\mathbb{G})) - 1}{\mathbf{e}_S(\mathbb{G})}.$$

Proof. We must first choose a $\mathbb{G}$ -equivariant cover $\mathcal{U}$ of $F(\mathbb{G}/S, 2)$ by affine open immersions. Observe that the automorphism $(g, h) \mapsto (g, g^{-1}h)$ of $\mathbb{G}^2/S$ identifies $F(\mathbb{G}/S, 2)$ with $\mathbb{G} \times_S \mathbb{G}_0$, where $\mathbb{G}_0$ is the complement of the identity section of $\mathbb{G}$. Under this identification, the action of $\mathbb{G}$ on $F(\mathbb{G}/S, 2)$ corresponds to left translation in the first factor of $\mathbb{G} \times_S \mathbb{G}_0$. We now choose a cover $\{U_i\}$ of $\mathbb{G}_0$ by affine open immersions $j_i : U_i \hookrightarrow \mathbb{G}_0$; the cover $\mathcal{U}$ of $F(\mathbb{G}/S, 2)$ is the pullback of this cover by the projection from $F(\mathbb{G}/S, 2) \cong \mathbb{G} \times_S \mathbb{G}_0$ to $\mathbb{G}_0$. (Here, we use that affine open immersions are preserved under base change.)

If $n > 0$, the morphism $j(n) : F(\mathbb{G}/S, n) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{G}^n/S$ is an $\mathbb{S}_n$ -equivariant immersion of $\mathbb{G}$ -torsors. On quotienting by the action of the group scheme $\mathbb{G}$, we obtain an $\mathbb{S}_n$ -equivariant immersion

$$\bar{j}(n) : \mathbb{G} \backslash F(\mathbb{G}/S, n) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{G} \backslash (\mathbb{G}^n/S).$$

(Note that $\mathbb{G} \backslash \mathbb{G}^n / S$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}^{n-1} / S$; however, this isomorphism obscures the action of the symmetric group $\mathbb{S}_n$.) Since the cover $\mathcal{U}$ of $F(\mathbb{G}/S, 2)$ is $\mathbb{G}$ -equivariant, the resolution $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{U}}^{\bullet}(\mathbb{G}/S, \mathbb{1}, n)$ is $\mathbb{S}_n \times \mathbb{G}$ -equivariant, so descends to an $\mathbb{S}_n$ -equivariant resolution $\mathbb{G} \backslash \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{U}}^{\bullet}(\mathbb{G}/S, \mathbb{1}, n)$ of $\bar{j}(n)_{\bullet} \bar{j}(n)^{\bullet} \mathbb{1}$. The theorem now follows by a proof which is entirely parallel to that of Theorem (4.5) (in the special case that $\mathcal{E} = \mathbb{1}$), provided we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} e_{\mathbb{S}_n}^{\mathbb{S}_n}(\mathbb{G} \backslash (\mathbb{G}^n / S), \mathbb{G} \backslash \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{U}}^{n-k}(\mathbb{G}/S, \mathbb{1}, n)) &= \sum_{k=1}^n \text{ch}(s(n, k)) e_S(\mathbb{G})^{k-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{e_S(\mathbb{G})} e_{\mathbb{S}_n}^{\mathbb{S}_n}(\mathbb{G}^n / S, \mathcal{L}^{n-k}(\mathbb{G}/S, \mathbb{1}, n)). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Note that if $\mathbb{G}$ is a family of elliptic curves, the proof of Theorem (4.9) simplifies, since we may take for the cover $\mathcal{U}$ of $F(\mathbb{G}/S, 2)$ the canonical choice $\{F(\mathbb{G}/S, 2)\}$. In fact, this is the case of Theorem (4.9) which we apply in the next section, to the universal family $E(N)$ of elliptic curves over the modular curve $Y(N)$.

5. THE $\mathbb{S}_n$ -EQUIVARIANT SERRE CHARACTERISTIC OF THE MODULI SPACE $\mathcal{M}_{1,n}$

Let $\mathcal{M}_{1,n}(N)$ be the fine moduli space of smooth elliptic curves of level $N \geq 3$ with n marked points; it is a smooth quasi-projective variety. The finite group $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N)$ acts on $\mathcal{M}_{1,n}(N)$, with quotient $\mathcal{M}_{1,n}$ the coarse moduli space of smooth elliptic curves.

Let $Y(N)$ be the modular curve $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}(N)$, and let $E(N) \rightarrow Y(N)$ be the universal elliptic curve of level N . The relative configuration space $F(E(N)/Y(N), n)$ is an $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N)$ -equivariant $E(N)$ -torsor with base $Y(N)$.

Denote by $\mathbf{H}$ the mixed Hodge module $R^1 f_! \mathbb{Q}$ on $Y(N)$; it is of course an $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N)$ -equivariant local system of rank 2, known as the Hodge local system. The sub- λ -ring which it generates in $K^{\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N)}(\text{MHM}(Y(N)))$ is isomorphic to the Grothendieck group of polynomial representations of the algebraic group $\text{GL}(2)$; this is the polynomial ring $\mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{H}, \mathbf{L}]$, with $\sigma_t(\mathbf{H}) = (1 - t\mathbf{H} + t^2\mathbf{L})^{-1}$ and $\sigma_t(\mathbf{L}) = (1 - t\mathbf{L})^{-1}$. In this notation, we have

$$e_{Y(N)}^{\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N)}(E(N)) = 1 - \mathbf{H} + \mathbf{L}.$$

We may now apply the $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N)$ -equivariant version of Theorem (4.9), obtaining the following formula.

Proposition (5.1).

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e_{Y(N)}^{\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N) \times \mathbb{S}_n}(\mathcal{M}_{1,n}(N)) = \frac{\left\{ \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 + p_k)^{\frac{1}{k} \sum_{d|k} \mu(k/d)(1 - \psi_d(\mathbf{H}) + \mathbf{L}^d)} \right\} - 1}{1 - \mathbf{H} + \mathbf{L}}$$

Denote the n th symmetric power of $\mathbf{H}$ by $\mathbf{H}_n$; it is a rank $(n+1)$ $\text{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N)$ -equivariant local system on $Y(N)$, given by the Chebyshev polynomial of the second kind*

$$\mathbf{H}_n = U_n(\mathbf{H}/2).$$

*These polynomials have generating function $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^n U_n(x) = (1 - 2xt + t^2)^{-1}$.

The following table gives $e_{Y(N)}^{\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N) \times \mathbb{S}_n}(\mathcal{M}_{1,n}(N))$ for $n \leq 5$. This table was calculated using J. Stembridge's symmetric function package `SF` [20] for `maple`.

n	$e_{Y(N)}^{\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N) \times \mathbb{S}_n}(\mathcal{M}_{1,n}(N))$
1	H_0
2	$H_0 L s_2 - H_1 s_{1^2}$
3	$H_0 L^2 s_3 - H_1 (L s_{21} - s_3) + H_2 s_{1^3}$
4	$H_0 (L^3 - L) s_4 - H_1 (L^2 s_{31} - L(s_4 + s_{31}) + s_{2^2}) + H_2 (L s_{21^2} - s_{31}) - H_3 s_{1^4}$
5	$H_0 (L^4 s_5 - L^2(s_5 + s_{41}) + L s_{32})$ $- H_1 (L^3 s_{41} - L^2(s_5 + s_{41} + s_{32}) - L(s_{32} + s_{2^2 1}) + s_{31^2})$ $+ H_2 (L^2 s_{31^2} - L(s_{41} - s_{32} - s_{31^2}) + (s_5 + s_{32} + s_{2^2 1})) - H_3 (L s_{21^3} - s_{31^2}) + H_4 s_{1^5}$

(5.2). **The Eichler-Shimura isomorphism.** Let $S_\ell(N)$ be the spaces of cusp forms of weight ℓ for the congruence group $\Gamma(N) = \ker(\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N))$. It is an $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N)$ -module, and its invariant subspace $S_\ell = S_\ell(1)$ is the space of cusp forms of level 1.

Let $E_\ell(N)$ be the space of Eisenstein series of weight ℓ . If $\ell > 2$, this is isomorphic as a $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N)$ -module to the induced representation

$$\Sigma_\ell(N) = \mathrm{Ind}_{P(N)}^{\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N)} \chi_\ell,$$

where $P(N) \subset \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N)$ is the parabolic subgroup of upper triangular matrices, with generators $T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $-I$, and χ_ℓ is the character of $P(N)$ which equals 1 on T and $(-1)^\ell$ on $-I$.

The space $E_2(N)$ is smaller than $\Sigma_2(N)$: it is isomorphic to $H_0(\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N), \Sigma_2(N))$.

If ℓ is even, $\Sigma_\ell(N)$ is the permutation representation of $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N)$ on the set of cusps, and the $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N)$ -invariant subspace is one-dimensional; the corresponding subspace of $E_\ell(N)$ is spanned by the level 1 Eisenstein series E_ℓ . If ℓ is odd, there are no $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N)$ -invariant elements of $E_\ell(N)$, reflecting the fact that there are no level 1 Eisenstein series of odd weight. In all cases, $\Sigma_\ell(N)$ has dimension

$$[\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N) : P(N)] = \frac{k^2}{2} \prod_{p|k} (1 - p^{-2})$$

equal to the number of cusps of the congruence subgroup $\Gamma(N)$.

Eichler and Shimura have calculated the cohomology of the sheaves $\mathbf{H}_n$. This calculation is explained in Verdier [21] and Shimura [18]. The mixed Hodge structure on this cohomology may be calculated by the same technique that Deligne uses in [5] to calculate the action of the Frobenius operator on the étale cohomology groups. Define the Hodge structure $\mathbf{S}_{n+2}(N)$ to be $\mathrm{gr}_{n+1}^W H_c^1(Y(N), \mathbf{H}_n)$.

Theorem (5.3). *The vector spaces $\mathrm{gr}_k^W H_c^i(Y(N), \mathbf{H}_n)$ associated to the weight filtration on the cohomology groups $H_c^\bullet(Y(N), \mathbf{H}_n)$ vanish, with the exception of*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{gr}_0^W H_c^1(Y(N), \mathbf{H}_n) &\cong E_{n+2}(N), \\ \mathrm{gr}_{n+1}^W H_c^1(Y(N), \mathbf{H}_n) &\cong S_{n+2}(N), \quad \text{and} \\ \mathrm{gr}_2^W H_c^2(Y(N), \mathbf{H}_0) &= \mathbf{L}. \end{aligned}$$

The Hodge filtration of $S_{n+2}(N)$ has two steps: $0 \subset F^0 S_{n+2}(N) \subset S_{n+2}(N)$, and the vector space $F^0 S_{n+2}(N)$ is naturally isomorphic to $S_{n+2}(N)$.

Corollary (5.4). *The equivariant Serre characteristic*

$$\mathbf{e}^{\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N) \times \mathbb{S}_n}(\mathcal{M}_{1,n}(N)) \in \mathbf{K}^{\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N)}(\mathrm{MHM}(\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}))) \otimes \Lambda_n$$

is obtained from the equivariant Serre characteristic

$$\mathbf{e}_{Y(N)}^{\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N) \times \mathbb{S}_n}(\mathcal{M}_{1,n}(N)) \in \mathbf{K}^{\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N)}(\mathrm{MHM}(Y(N))) \otimes \Lambda_n$$

by the substitution $\mathbf{H}_n \mapsto \delta_{n,0}(\mathbf{L} + 1) - \Sigma_{n+2}(N) - S_{n+2}(N)$.

We may now descend to level 1 by applying the augmentation

$$\varepsilon : \mathbf{K}^{\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}/N)}(\mathrm{MHM}(\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}))) \longrightarrow \mathbf{K}(\mathrm{MHM}(\mathrm{Spec}(\mathbb{C}))),$$

given explicitly by $\varepsilon(S_\ell(N)) = S_\ell$ and

$$\varepsilon(\Sigma_\ell(N)) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q}, & \ell \text{ even}, \\ 0, & \ell \text{ odd}. \end{cases}$$

The following table gives the $\mathbb{S}_n$ -equivariant Serre characteristic $\mathbf{e}^{\mathbb{S}_n}(\mathcal{M}_{1,n})$ for $n \leq 5$, together with the underlying Serre characteristic $\mathbf{e}(\mathcal{M}_{1,n})$ and Euler characteristic.

n	$\mathbf{e}^{\mathbb{S}_n}(\mathcal{M}_{1,n})$	$\mathbf{e}(\mathcal{M}_{1,n})$	$\chi(\mathcal{M}_{1,n})$
1	$\mathbf{L} s_1$	$\mathbf{L}$	1
2	$s_2 \mathbf{L}^2$	$\mathbf{L}^2$	1
3	$s_3 \mathbf{L}^3 - s_1^3$	$\mathbf{L}^3 - 1$	0
4	$s_4 \mathbf{L}^4 - s_4 \mathbf{L}^2 - s_{21^2} \mathbf{L} + s_{31}$	$\mathbf{L}^4 - \mathbf{L}^2 - 3\mathbf{L} + 3$	0
5	$s_5 \mathbf{L}^5 - (s_5 + s_{41}) \mathbf{L}^3 + (s_{32} - s_{31^2}) \mathbf{L}^2$ $+ (s_{41} + s_{32} + s_{31^2}) \mathbf{L}$ $- (s_5 + s_{32} + s_{221} + s_{1^5})$	$\mathbf{L}^5 - 5\mathbf{L}^3 - \mathbf{L}^2 + 15\mathbf{L} - 12$	-2

Corollary (5.4) may be expressed in closed form:

$$(5.5) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{\mathbb{S}_n}(\mathcal{M}_{1,n}) = \text{res}_0 \left[\left(\frac{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 + p_n)^{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu(n/d)(1-\omega^d - \mathbb{L}^d/\omega^d + \mathbb{L}^d)} - 1}{1 - \omega - \mathbb{L}/\omega + \mathbb{L}} \right) \times \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{S_{2k+2} + 1}{\mathbb{L}^{2k+1}} \right) \omega^{2k} - 1 \right) (\omega - \mathbb{L}/\omega) d\omega \right],$$

where res_0 is the residue of the differential form at the origin. This is an easy consequence of the Weyl integration formula for $\text{SU}(2)$, in the form

$$-\frac{1}{2} \text{res}_0 \left[\left(\frac{\omega^{k+1} - (\mathbb{L}/\omega)^{k+1}}{\omega - \mathbb{L}/\omega} \right) \left(\frac{\omega^{\ell+1} - (\mathbb{L}/\omega)^{\ell+1}}{\omega - \mathbb{L}/\omega} \right) (\omega - \mathbb{L}/\omega)^2 \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \right] = \mathbb{L}^{k+1} \delta_{k\ell}.$$

To obtain a formula for the non-equivariant Serre characteristics, we replace p_n , $n > 1$, by 0, and expand in p_1 , which gives

$$(5.6) \quad \frac{e(\mathcal{M}_{1,n+1})}{n!} = \text{res}_0 \left[\binom{\mathbb{L} - \omega - \mathbb{L}/\omega}{n} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{S_{2k+2} + 1}{\mathbb{L}^{2k+1}} \right) \omega^{2k} - 1 \right) (\omega - \mathbb{L}/\omega) d\omega \right].$$

From (5.6), we can calculate the Euler characteristic $\chi(\mathcal{M}_{1,n})$ directly. The following proof was shown to the author by D. Zagier.

Proposition (5.7). *If $n \geq 5$, $\chi(\mathcal{M}_{1,n}) = (-1)^n(n-1)!/12$.*

Proof. If in (5.6), we replace $\mathbb{L} = 1$ and S_{k+2} by $2 \dim(S_{k+2})$, we see that

$$\frac{\chi(\mathcal{M}_{1,n+1})}{n!} = \text{res}_0 \left[\binom{1 - \omega - \omega^{-1}}{n} \frac{(1 - \omega^2 - 2\omega^4 - \omega^6 + \omega^8) d\omega}{(1 + \omega^2)(1 - \omega^6) \omega} \right].$$

The poles of this differential form are all simple, and are located at $\omega = 0$ and $\omega = \infty$, and at values of ω such that $\omega + \omega^{-1}$ is an integer in the interval $[-2, 2]$ (the latter poles are on the unit circle). Since it is invariant under $\omega \mapsto \omega^{-1}$, its residues at 0 and ∞ are equal. By the residue theorem, it follows that

$$\frac{\chi(\mathcal{M}_{1,n+1})}{n!} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{z \in \{\pm 1, \pm i, \pm \rho, \pm \rho^2\}} \text{res}_z \left[\binom{1 - \omega - \omega^{-1}}{n} \frac{(1 - \omega^2 - 2\omega^4 - \omega^6 + \omega^8) d\omega}{(1 + \omega^2)(1 - \omega^6) \omega} \right],$$

where ρ is a primitive sixth root of unity.

The residues of this differential form on the unit circle are as follows:

$$(5.8) \quad \text{res}_z \left[\frac{(1 - \omega^2 - 2\omega^4 - \omega^6 + \omega^8)}{(1 + \omega^2)(1 - \omega^6)} \right] = \begin{cases} 1/6, & |z + z^{-1}| = 2, \\ -1/3, & |z + z^{-1}| = 1, \\ -1/2, & |z + z^{-1}| = 0. \end{cases}$$

At each of these poles except $\omega = 1$, the binomial coefficient $\binom{1-\omega-\omega^{-1}}{n}$ vanishes for $n \geq 4$. This leaves the residue at 1, which equals $(-1)^{n+1}/12$. $\square$

We close the paper with a calculation of the Serre characteristics of the spaces $\mathcal{M}_{1,n}/\mathbb{S}_n$. If we substitute x^n for p_n in (5.5), we obtain the generating function for the $\mathbb{S}_n$ -invariant parts of the local systems $\mathbf{e}^{\mathbb{S}_n}(\mathcal{M}_{1,n}/\mathcal{M}_{1,1})$. By Corollary (5.7) of [7], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} H^0(\mathbb{S}_n, \mathbf{e}^{\mathbb{S}_n}(\mathcal{M}_{1,n}/\mathcal{M}_{1,1}))x^n &= \frac{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1+x^n)^{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu(n/d)(1-\omega^d - \mathbb{L}^d/\omega^d + \mathbb{L}^d)} - 1}{1 - \omega - \mathbb{L}/\omega + \mathbb{L}} \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \omega - \mathbb{L}/\omega + \mathbb{L}} \left\{ \frac{(1 - \omega x)(1 - \mathbb{L}x/\omega)(1 - x^2)(1 - \mathbb{L}x^2)}{(1 - x)(1 - \mathbb{L}x)(1 - \omega x^2)(1 - \mathbb{L}x^2/\omega)} - 1 \right\} \\ &= x \left(\frac{1 - \mathbb{L}x^3}{1 - \mathbb{L}x} \right) \frac{1}{1 - (\omega + \mathbb{L}/\omega)x^2 + \mathbb{L}x^4} \\ &= x \left(\frac{1 - \mathbb{L}x^3}{1 - \mathbb{L}x} \right) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} H_k x^{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the functor $H_c^\bullet(\mathcal{M}_{1,1}, -)$, we see that:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{e}(\mathcal{M}_{1,n}/\mathbb{S}_n)x^n = x \left(\frac{1 - \mathbb{L}x^3}{1 - \mathbb{L}x} \right) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{e}(\mathcal{M}_{1,1}, H_{2k})x^{4k}$$

Taking Euler characteristics gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi(\mathcal{M}_{1,n}/\mathbb{S}_n)x^n &= (x + x^2 + x^3) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \chi(\mathcal{M}_{1,1}, H_n)x^{4n} \\ &= (x + x^2 + x^3) \frac{(1 - x^4 - 2x^8 - x^{12} + x^{16})}{(1 - x^8)(1 - x^{12})}. \end{aligned}$$

The corresponding formulas in genus 0 are $\mathbf{e}(\mathcal{M}_{0,n}/\mathbb{S}_n) = \mathbb{L}^{n-3}$ and $\chi(\mathcal{M}_{0,n}/\mathbb{S}_n) = 1$, for all $n \geq 3$.

REFERENCES

- [1] V.I. Arnold, *The cohomology ring of the colored braid group*, Mat. Zametki **5** (1969), 227–231.
- [2] M. Artin et al., “Théorie de topos et cohomologie étale des schémas, Tome 3 (SGA4),” Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 305, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1973.
- [3] A.A. Beilinson, *On the derived category of perverse sheaves*, in “K-theory, arithmetic and geometry (Moscow, 1984–1986),” Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1289, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1987, 27–41.
- [4] A.A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein and P. Deligne, *Faisceaux pervers*, Astérisque **100** (1982), 5–171.
- [5] P. Deligne, *Formes modulaires et représentations ℓ -adiques*, Sémin. Bourbaki 1968/69, no. 347, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 179, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1971, 139–172.
- [6] A. Dress, *Contributions to the theory of induced representations*, in “Algebraic K-theory II,” Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 342, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1973, 183–240.
- [7] E. Getzler, *Mixed Hodge structures of configuration spaces*, Max-Planck-Institut preprint MPI-96-61, [alg-geom/9510018](#).
- [8] E. Getzler, *The semi-classical approximation for modular operads*, Max-Planck-Institut preprint MPI-96-145, [alg-geom/9611003](#).
- [9] A. Grothendieck, *Éléments de Géométrie Algébrique*, Publ. Math. IHES **8** (1961).
- [10] P. Hanlon, *The fixed-point partition lattice*, Pacific J. Math. **96** (1981), 319–341.

- [11] J. Harer and D. Zagier, *The Euler characteristic of the moduli space of curves*, Invent. Math. **85** (1986), 457–485.
- [12] G.I. Lehrer and L. Solomon, *On the action of the symmetric group on the cohomology of the complement of its reflecting hyperplanes*, J. Algebra **104** (1986), 410–424.
- [13] E. Looijenga, *Cohomology of $\mathcal{M}_3$ and $\mathcal{M}_3^1$* , in “Mapping class groups and moduli spaces of Riemann surfaces (Göttingen, 1991/Seattle, WA, 1991),” Contemp. Math. **150**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1993, pp. 205–228.
- [14] I.G. Macdonald, “Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials,” Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1979.
- [15] P. Orlik, *Introduction to arrangements*, CBMS Regional Conf. Ser. Math. **72** (1989).
- [16] P. Orlik and L. Solomon, *Combinatorics and topology of complements of hyperplanes*, Invent. Math. **56** (1980), 167–189.
- [17] M. Saito, *Mixed Hodge modules*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **26** (1990), 221–333.
- [18] G. Shimura, “Introduction to the arithmetic theory of automorphic functions,” (Publications of the Mathematical Society of Japan, vol. 11) Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1971.
- [19] R.P. Stanley, *Some aspects of groups acting on finite posets*, J. Combin. Theory **A32** (1982), 132–161.
- [20] J. Stembridge, *A Maple package for symmetric functions*.
<ftp://ftp.math.lsa.umich.edu/pub/jrs/software/SF.tar.Z>.
- [21] J.L. Verdier, *Sur les integrales attachees aux formes automorphes (d’après Goro Shimura)*, Sémin. Bourbaki 1960/61, no. 216.
- [22] J.L. Verdier, *Dualité dans la cohomologie des espaces localement compacts*, Sémin. Bourbaki 1965/66, no. 300.
- [23] B. Totaro, *Configuration spaces of algebraic varieties*, Topology **35** (1996), 1057–1068.

MAX-PLANCK-INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, GOTTFRIED-CLAREN-STR. 26, D-53225 BONN, GERMANY

Current address: Department of Mathematics, Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60208-2730, USA

E-mail address: getzler@math.nwu.edu